

the responsibility of the State of Missouri and the City, and not the Secretary.

The bill, as amended, was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read a third time by title, and passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby the bill, as amended, was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

*Ordered*, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

#### ¶119.59 WATER BANK ACT

On motion of Mr. DE LA GARZA, by unanimous consent, the Committee on Agriculture was discharged from further consideration of the bill (H.R. 5053) to expand eligibility for the wetlands reserve program to lands covered by expiring agreements under the Water Bank Act.

When said bill was considered and read twice.

Mr. DE LA GARZA submitted the following amendment in the nature of a substitute which was agreed to:

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Water Bank Extension Act of 1994".

#### SEC. 2. SPECIAL AUTHORITY TO EXTEND WATER BANK ACT AGREEMENTS.

(a) Subject to subsection (b), any agreement entered into under the Water Bank Act (16 U.S.C. 1301 et seq.) and due to expire on December 31, 1994, may be extended for 1 year under section 6 of the Water Bank Act (16 U.S.C. 1305).

(b) The authority to extend Water Bank Act agreements under this Act may only be exercised to the extent that the amount available for obligation under the Wetlands Reserve Program (16 U.S.C. 1637 et seq.), and the amount used for the extension of Water Bank Act agreements under subsection (a), does not exceed \$93,200,000 as provided for the Wetlands Reserve Program under the Agricultural, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1995.

The bill, as amended, was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read a third time by title, and passed.

By unanimous consent, the title was amended so as to read: "A bill to authorize the Secretary of Agriculture to extend for one year Water Bank Act agreements that are due to expire on December 31, 1994."

A motion to reconsider the votes whereby the bill, as amended, was passed and the title was amended was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

*Ordered*, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

#### ¶119.60 CODIFY WITHOUT SUBSTANTIVE CHANGE

Mr. BROOKS moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4778) to codify without substantive change recent laws related to transportation and to improve the United States Code; as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, recognized Mr. BROOKS and Mr. FISH, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill, as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

So, two-thirds of the Members present having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended and said bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby the rules were suspended and said bill, as amended, was passed was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

*Ordered*, That the Clerk request the concurrence of the Senate in said bill.

#### ¶119.61 BANKRUPTCY REFORM

Mr. BROOKS moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5116) to amend title 11 of the United States Code; as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, recognized Mr. BROOKS and Mr. FISH, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill, as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

Mr. GEKAS objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced that further proceedings on the motion were postponed until Wednesday, October 5, 1994, pursuant to the prior announcement of the Chair.

The point of no quorum was considered as withdrawn.

#### ¶119.62 HOUR OF MEETING

On motion of Mr. FRANK, by unanimous consent,

*Ordered*, That when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, October 5, 1994.

#### ¶119.63 TELECOMMUNICATIONS WIRETAP ACCESS

Mr. BROOKS moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4922) to amend title 18, United States Code, to make clear a telecommunications carrier's duty to cooperate in the interception of communications for law enforcement purposes, and for other purposes; as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, recognized Mr. BROOKS and Mr. HYDE, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill, as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

Mr. WALKER objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced that further proceedings on the motion were postponed until

Wednesday, October 5, 1993, pursuant to the prior announcement of the Chair.

The point of no quorum was considered as withdrawn.

#### ¶119.64 ALEUTIAN AND PRIBILOF OF RESTITUTION

Mr. BROOKS moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill of the Senate (S. 1457) to amend the Aleutian and Pribilof Restitution Act to increase authorization for appropriation to compensate Aleut villages for church property lost, damaged, or destroyed during World War II; as amended.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, recognized Mr. BROOKS and Mr. GEKAS, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill, as amended?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

Mr. WALKER objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced that further proceedings on the motion were postponed until Wednesday, October 5, 1993, pursuant to the prior announcement of the Chair.

#### ¶119.65 FULL FAITH AND CREDIT FOR CHILD SUPPORT ORDERS

Mr. BROOKS moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill of the Senate (S. 922) to provide that a State court may not modify an order of another State court requiring the payment of child support unless the recipient of child support payments resides in the State in which the modification is sought or consents to the seeking of the modification in that court.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, recognized Mr. BROOKS and Mr. GEKAS, each for 20 minutes.

After debate,

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House suspend the rules and pass said bill?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, announced that two-thirds of the Members present had voted in the affirmative.

Mr. WALKER objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. POSHARD, pursuant to clause 5, rule I, announced that further proceedings on the motion were postponed until Wednesday, October 5, 1994, pursuant to the prior announcement of the Chair.

The point of no quorum was considered as withdrawn.

#### ¶119.66 DEFENSE DEPARTMENT CHILD SUPPORT ENFORCEMENT

Mr. SKELTON moved to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 5140) to provide for improved procedures for the enforcement of child support obligations of members of the Armed Forces.